arXiv:1412.3934v2 [math.PR] 15 Dec 2014

EXTREMES OF ORDER STATISTICS OF SELF-SIMILAR PROCESSES

CHENGXIU LING

Abstract: Let $\{X_i(t), t \geq 0\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ be independent copies of a random process $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$. For a given positive constant u, define the set of rth conjunctions $C_r(u) := \{t \in [0,1] : X_{r:n}(t) > u\}$ with $X_{r:n}$ the rth largest order statistics of $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. In numerical applications such as brain mapping and digital communication systems, of interest is the approximation of $p_r(u) = \mathbb{P}\{C_r(u) \neq \phi\}$. Instead of stationary processes dealt with in [19], we consider in this paper X a self-similar $\mathbb{R}$-valued process with P-continuous sample paths. By imposing the Albin's conditions directly on X, we establish an exact asymptotic expansion of $p_r(u)$ as u tends to infinity. As a by-product we derive the asymptotic tail behaviour of the mean sojourn time of $X_{r:n}$ over an increasing threshold. Finally, our findings are illustrated for the case that X is a bi-fractional Brownian motion, a sub-fractional Brownian motion, and a generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian process.

Key Words: Self-similar processes; Order-statistic processes; Conjunction; Mean sojourn time; Generalized skew-Gaussian process.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 60G15; 60G70

1. Introduction

Let $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a self-similar $\mathbb{R}$-valued random process with index $\kappa > 0$ and P-continuous sample paths, i.e., the finite-dimensional distributions (f.d.d.) of $X(\lambda t)$ coincide with those of $\lambda^\kappa X(t)$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Given $X_1, \ldots, X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ independent copies of X we define the rth order statistics process $X_{r:n}, 1 \leq r \leq n$ as follows.

$$X_{n:n}(t) \leq \cdots \leq X_{1:n}(t), \quad t > 0. \tag{1}$$

Order statistics play an important role in many statistical applications. If $X_i(t)$ models the value of a certain object (say signal or image) i measured at time $t \in [0,1]$, and u a fixed threshold, then the rth conjunction occurs in the time set $C_r(u) := \{t \in [0,1] : X_{r:n}(t) > u\}$. In applications, of interest is to obtain the probability that $C_r(u)$ is not empty, which is given by

$$p_r(u) := \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_{r:n}(t) > u\right\}. \tag{2}$$

Clearly, $p_r(u)$ is the probability that at least r objects overshoot the threshold u before time point 1. Most numerical applications, related to the analysis of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) data and the surface roughness during all machinery processes, are concerned with the calculation of $p_r(u)$; see, e.g., [1, 29]. For certain smooth Gaussian random fields approximations of $p_r(u)$ are discussed for instance in [8, 17, 29]; results for non-Gaussian random fields can be found for instance in [10]. The recent contributions [18, 19] derive asymptotic

Date: December 16, 2014.

expansions of $p_r(u)$ considering a stationary (Gaussian) process X. It is well-known that the stationary random field cannot be used to model phenomena and data sets that exhibit certain non-stationary characteristics such as long-range dependence (LRD). Such situations arise naturally in the limit theorems of random walks and other stochastic processes modeling various phenomena such as telecommunications, internet traffic, image processing and mathematical finance. Typically, these processes are related to self-similar processes. We refer to the monographs [7, 11, 12, 20, 28] for complete expositions on theoretical and practical aspects of self-similar processes.

Since the exact calculation of $p_r(u)$ is not possible in general, in this contribution we derive the approximations of $p_r(u), u \to \infty$ for a self-similar generic process X with index κ.

For the formulation of our main result, we need to introduce Albin's conditions imposed on X; see, e.g., [5, 19]. Hereafter, let $\overline{F} = 1 - F$ denote the survival function of a given distribution F.

Condition A: (Gumbel MDA and weak convergence) Suppose that $X(1)$ has a continuous distribution function (df) G with infinite right endpoint, and it belongs to the Gumbel max-domain attraction (MDA) with some positive scaling function $w = w(u)$, denoted by $G \in MDA(\Lambda, w)$, that is

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{\overline{G}(u + x/w)}{\overline{G}(u)} = e^{-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Further, we assume that there is a non-increasing, positive function $q = q(u) = D_0 u^{-\alpha_0}(1 + o(1))$, $u \to \infty$ with some positive D_0, α_0, and an $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$-valued random process $\{\xi(t), t \geq 0\}$ with 0 the continuity of the df of $\xi(t), t \geq 0$ such that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, t_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq m$

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \mathbb{P}\left\{X(1 - qt_i) > u, 1 \leq i \leq m | X(1) > u\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\xi(t_i) > 0, 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}. \tag{3}$$

Condition B: (Short-lasting-exceedance) For the function q as in condition A we have

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} \limsup_{u\to\infty} \int_{d\wedge(1/q)}^{1/q} \mathbb{P}\left\{X(1 - qt) > u | X(1) > u\right\} dt = 0$$

with $a \wedge b = \min(a, b)$.

In order to state the tightness condition C below we need the following notation. Let $\widetilde{a} = 1/(2\sup_{u\in[0,\infty)} q(u))$ be positive, and $L(u)$ the mean sojourn time of the process X over the threshold u which is defined as

$$L(u) = \int_0^1 \mathbb{I}\left\{X(t) > u\right\} dt$$

where $\mathbb{I}\{\cdot\}$ is the indicator function. Further we define a sequence $\{t_a^u(k)\}$ for given $u \in \mathbb{R}, a \in (0, \widetilde{a}]$: setting $t_a^u(0) = 1$ and

$$t_a^u(k+1) = t_a^u(k)\big(1 - aq((t_a^u(k))^{-\kappa}u)\big), \quad k = 0, \ldots, K \tag{4}$$

with $K \equiv K(a, u) = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{N} : (t_a^u(k))^{-\kappa}u < \infty\}$.

Condition C: For the functions w and q given as in condition A, we have for each $\sigma > 0$

$$\boldsymbol{v}(a, \sigma) \equiv \limsup_{u\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X(t) > u + \sigma/w, \max_{0\leq k\leq K} X(t_a^u(k)) \leq u\right\}}{\mathbb{E}\left\{L(u)/q\right\} + \overline{G}(u)} \to 0, \quad a \downarrow 0.$$

Condition C is often verified via Propositions 3–5 in [5]. For instance, in view of Proposition 3(ii) in [5] sufficient for condition C to hold is the next condition.

Condition C*: Suppose that there exist some positive constants λ_0, ρ, b, D and $d > 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X(1-qt) > u + \frac{\lambda + v}{w}, X(1) \le u + \frac{v}{w}\right\} \le Dt^d \lambda^{-b} \overline{G}(u)$$

holds for all u large and all $0 < t^\rho \le \lambda \le \lambda_0, v \ge 0$.

The contribution of this paper is to establish the approximation of $p_r(u)$ under the common Albin's conditions A, B and C (or C*) given above, which are satisfied by many well-known self-similar processes such as skewed α-stable processes, Kesten-Spitzer processes and Rosenblatt processes in [5]. We will illustrate our findings by the following self-similar processes in Section 3: i) a bi-fractional Brownian motion, ii) a sub-fractional Brownian motion, and iii) a generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian process, see Theorem 3.1. We refer to [15, 16, 21, 22, 26, 27] for related studies on these generalized self-similar Gaussian processes and fields. However, verifying these conditions requires in general significant efforts.

Given the relation between extremes and the sojourn time, in this paper we derive also some results for the mean sojourn time of the rth order statistics process $X_{r:n}$, see below Propositions 2.1, 2.2. Again, those results will be shown by imposing only conditions on the generic process X. For more studies on sojourn time, we refer to [13, 14] and the recent contribution [23] for stationary Gaussian random fields.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: The main results are displayed in Section 2 followed then by some illustrating examples. All the proofs are relegated to Section 4 followed by some concluding remarks.

2. Main Results

In this section we will derive our main results for the order statistics process $X_{r:n}$ generated by X which was specified in the previous section (see (1)). In order to establish the approximations of $p_r(u)$, we need two auxiliary results below on the asymptotic properties of the mean sojourn time of rth order statistics process $X_{r:n}$ over an increasing threshold u, denoted by $L_r(u) = L_r(1; u)$, with

$$L_r(s; u) = \int_0^s \mathbb{I}\{X_{r:n}(t) > u\}\, dt, \quad s \in [0, 1]. \tag{5}$$

Throughout in the sequel $G_r(\cdot)$ stands for the df of $X_{r:n}(1)$.

Proposition 2.1. *If the df G of $X(1)$ is continuous and further $G \in MDA(\Lambda, w)$, then*

$$\overline{G}_r(u) = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}(\overline{G}(u))^r(1 + o(1)), \quad u \to \infty.$$

Moreover, $G_r \in MDA(\Lambda, w_r)$ with $w_r = w_r(u) = rw(u)$ and

$$\mathbb{E}\{L_r(u)\} = \frac{1}{\kappa u w_r(u)}\overline{G}_r(u)(1 + o(1)), \quad u \to \infty. \tag{6}$$

More generally, we can obtain below the bounds of the asymptotic distribution of $L_r(u)$ if conditions A and B are satisfied by the generic process X. Let $\{\xi_{r:r}(t), t \geq 0\}$ be the minimum process of r independent copies of ξ, and define

$$\Theta_r(x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}\left\{\xi_{r:r}(t) > u\right\} dt > x\right\}, \quad x \geq 0. \tag{7}$$

Proposition 2.2. *If condition A holds for the process X, then for each $x \geq 0$*

$$\liminf_{u\to\infty} \int_x^\infty \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{L_r(u)/q > y\right\}}{\mathbb{E}\left\{L_r(u)/q\right\}} dy \geq \Theta_r(x).$$

If additionally condition B holds, then for each $x \geq 0$

$$\limsup_{u\to\infty} \int_x^\infty \frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{L_r(u)/q > y\right\}}{\mathbb{E}\left\{L_r(u)/q\right\}} dy \leq \Theta_r(x-).$$

Remark 2.3. *a) Propositions 2.1, 2.2 generalize Proposition 1 and Theorem 1 in [5] for rth order statistics processes, respectively.*

b) If conditions A, B are satisfied by the generic process X, then for any $x > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_{r:n}(t) > u\right\} &\geq \max\left(\overline{G}_r(u), \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x \mathbb{P}\left\{\frac{L_r(u)}{q} > y\right\} dy\right)\\ &\geq \max\left(\overline{G}_r(u), \frac{1-\Theta_r(x-)}{x}\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{L_r(u)}{q}\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We will see that the asymptotic inequality above can be reversed under certain tightness conditions C or C* in Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.5 below. Further by Proposition 2.1, these asymptotic results will depend on the limits β_3 and β_4 defined as below

$$\beta_3 = \liminf_{u\to\infty} uqw \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_4 = \limsup_{u\to\infty} uqw. \tag{8}$$

Theorem 2.4. *If condition C and $\beta_3 = \infty$ are satisfied by the generic process X, then*

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{1}{\overline{G}_r(u)}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_{r:n}(t) > u\right\} = 1.$$

Theorem 2.5. *If condition A is satisfied by the generic process X. If additionally condition C and $0 < \beta_3 \leq \beta_4 < \infty$ hold, or instead conditions B and C* hold, then*

$$\lim_{u\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left\{L_r(u)/q\right\}}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_{r:n}(t) > u\right\} = -\Theta_r'(0)$$

where $-\Theta_r'(0) = \lim_{x\downarrow 0}(1-\Theta_r(x))/x$ is positive and finite.

Remark 2.6. *a) The approximation of $p_{r,T}(u) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,T]} X_{r:n}(t) > u\right\}$ for any $T > 0$ is readily obtained by using the self-similarity of $X_{r:n}$.*

b) One may consider the case that the marginal df G belongs to the Fréchet and Weibull max-domain attraction, respectively; see, e.g., [3, 5].

c) The suitable choice of q function is crucial for the asymptotic results; see Theorem 3.1 below. On the other hand, one may consider some general function $q(\cdot)$ with minor modifications; see [5].

3. Examples

In this section, we first give two classes of self-similar Gaussian processes, namely bi-fractional Brownian motions and sub-fractional Brownian motions, and then investigate a generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian process to illustrate our main results in Section 2.

Example 1: (*Bi-fractional Brownian motions (bi-fBm)*) Let $\{B_{h,k}(t), t \geq 0\}$ with $h \in (0,1), k \in (0,1]$ be a bi-fBm, i.e., a centered self-similar Gaussian process with covariance function given by

$$\mathbb{E}\{B_{h,k}(s)B_{h,k}(t)\} = \frac{1}{2^k}\Big(\big(s^{2h}+t^{2h}\big)^k - |s-t|^{2hk}\Big), \quad s,\ t \geq 0.$$

In particular, the bi-fBm $B_{h,1}$ is the fBm with Hurst index h. The Lamperti's transformation $\widetilde{B}_{h,k}(t) \equiv e^{-\kappa t}B_{h,k}(e^t)$ with $\kappa = hk$ is a centered stationary Gaussian process with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\widetilde{B}_{h,k}(0)\widetilde{B}_{h,k}(t)\right\} = 1 - 2^{-k}t^{2hk} + o(|t|+|t|^{2hk}), \quad t \to 0.$$

Example 2: (*Sub-fractional Brownian motions (sub-fBm)*) Let $\{S_h(t), t \geq 0\}$ with $h \in (0,1)$ be a sub-fBm, i.e., a centered self-similar Gaussian process with covariance given by

$$\mathbb{E}\{S_h(s)S_h(t)\} = s^{2h} + t^{2h} - \frac{1}{2}\Big((s+t)^{2h} + |s-t|^{2h}\Big), \quad s,\ t \geq 0.$$

The Lamperti's transformation $\widetilde{S}_h(t) \equiv e^{-\kappa t}S_h(e^t)$ with $\kappa = h$ is a centered stationary Gaussian process with covariance function satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\widetilde{S}_h(0)\widetilde{S}_h(t)\right\} = (2-2^{2h-1})\left(1 - \frac{1}{2(2-2^{2h-1})}t^{2h} + o(|t|+|t|^{2h})\right), \quad t \to 0.$$

Note that the correlation functions of the above centered stationary Gaussian processes have regular varying tails at zero. Using the well-known results for stationary Gaussian processes (see, e.g., [6, 24]) and the Lamperti's Propositions in [5], we readily see that Theorem 2.5 holds for the two classed of self-similar Gaussian processes above which will further be extended to be of skew version; see Theorem 3.1 below.

Example 3: (*Generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian processes*) Let $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a centered self-similar Gaussian process with index $\kappa > 0$ and covariance function satisfying

$$\mathbb{E}\{X(1)X(1+t)\} = 1 + \kappa t - \mathrm{D}\,|t|^{\alpha} + o(|t|+|t|^{\alpha}), \quad t \to 0 \tag{9}$$

for some constants $\alpha \in (0,2]$ and $\mathrm{D} > 0$. Denote by $|\boldsymbol{\chi}(t)| := \big(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2(t)\big)^{1/2}$ with $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq m+1, m \in \mathbb{N}$ independent copies of X. We then define the generalized skew-Gaussian process ζ with $\delta \in [0,1]$ by

$$\zeta(t) \equiv \delta\,|\boldsymbol{\chi}(t)| + \sqrt{1-\delta^2}X_{m+1}(t), \quad t \geq 0. \tag{10}$$

We note in passing that condition (9) is satisfied by the bi-fBm and the sub-fBm. In particular, (9) holds with $\mathrm{D} = 1/2, \kappa = \alpha/2$ if $X(t) = Z(t)$ is a standard fBm with Hurst index $\alpha/2 \in (0,1]$, i.e., a centered Gaussian process with covariance function satisfying

$$\mathbf{Cov}(Z(s),Z(t)) = \frac{1}{2}\big(s^{\alpha}+t^{\alpha}-|s-t|^{\alpha}\big), \quad s,\ t \geq 0.$$

In what follows, utilizing the obtained results in Section 2, we investigate the asymptotic results of $\zeta_{r:n}$, the rth order statistics process with the generic process ζ. This class of skew-Gaussian processes has received a lot of attentions from both theoretical and applicable fields; see, e.g., [2, 9, 19].

To formulate our asymptotic results on the process ζ, we need further some notation and condition (11) below. Let E be a unit mean exponential random variable (rv) which is independent of the standard fBm Z with Hurst index $\alpha/2 \in (0,1]$. We write further $E_i, i \le r$ and $Z_i, i \le r$ for independent copies of E and Z, respectively. As for the χ-process (i.e., ζ with $\delta = 1$) in [5], we assume that, there exists some $h > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[\varepsilon,h]} e^{-\kappa t}\mathbb{E}\left\{X(1)X(e^t)\right\} < 1, \quad \varepsilon \in (0,h]. \tag{11}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{\zeta(t), t \ge 0\}$ be the generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian process given in* (10)*. We have*
a) If (9) *and* (11) *hold with $\alpha \in (0,1]$, then Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 hold for the rth order statistics process $\zeta_{r:n}$ with $w(u) = \max(1,u), q(u) = (\max(1,u))^{-2/\alpha}$ and*

$$\Theta_r(x) = \mathbb{P}\left\{\int_0^\infty \mathbb{I}\left\{\min_{1\le i\le r}(\sqrt{2}Z_i(\mathrm{D}^{1/\alpha}t) - \mathrm{D}t^\alpha + E_i - \beta_4\kappa t) > u\right\} dt > x\right\}$$

where $\beta_4 = 1$ if $\alpha = 1$, and 0 otherwise.
b) If (9) *holds with $\alpha \in (1,2]$, then Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 hold for $\zeta_{r:n}$ with $w(u) = \max(1,u), q(u) = (\max(1,u))^{-2}$ and $\Theta_r(x) = e^{-\kappa r x}$.*

Remark 3.2. *It is possible to allow that $X_i, 1 \le i \le m+1$ in* (10) *are not identically distributed, for instance, assuming that the processes $X_i, 1 \le i \le m+1$ satisfy condition* (9) *with different $\mathrm{D}_i > 0, 1 \le i \le m+1$.*

4. Proofs

In this section, we will first give, by verifying that conditions A and B imposed on X are satisfied by the order statistics $X_{r:n}$ in turn, the proofs of Propositions 2.1, 2.2. Then our main results (Theorems 2.4–2.5) follow mainly by the verifications of the tightness conditions C and C*. We conclude this section with the proof of Theorem 3.1 concerning the generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian processes.

In what follows, we write $\stackrel{d}{=}$ for equality in distribution while $\stackrel{d}{\to}$ for the convergence in distribution (or the convergence of finite-dimensional distribution if both sides of it are random processes). In the sequel, we set

$$c_{n,l} = \frac{n!}{l!(n-l)!}, \quad l = 0,\dots,n \quad \text{and} \quad u_x = u + \frac{x}{w}, \quad x \ge 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1: It follows by Lemma 1 in [19] that

$$\overline{G}_r(u) = c_{n,r}(\overline{G}(u))^r(1+o(1)), \quad u \to \infty$$

which together with the fact that $G \in MDA(\Lambda, w)$ implies that $G_r \in MDA(\Lambda, w_r)$ with $w_r(u) = rw(u)$. Noting further that $\{X_{r:n}(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a self-similar process with index κ, the claim follows by Proposition 1 in [5]. □

Proof of Proposition 2.2: It follows from Lemma 2 in [5] that $\mathbb{P}\{\xi(t) > x\}$ is continuous at $x = 0$ for each $t \in (0, \infty)$. Thus, in view of Theorem 1 in [5], the lower bound follows if we verify that (3) holds for the order statistics process $X_{r:n}$. The main idea of the following proof is from that of Lemma 2 in [19].

We first consider the proof for $r = n$. By condition A and Lemma 1 in [19]

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\{X_{n:n}(1 - qt_i) > u, 1 \le i \le m | X_{n:n}(1) > u\} \\
&\quad = \frac{\mathbb{P}\{X_{n:n}(1 - qt_i) > u, 1 \le i \le m, X_{n:n}(1) > u\}}{\mathbb{P}\{X_{n:n}(1) > u\}} \\
&\quad \to \mathbb{P}\{\xi_{n:n}(t_i) > 0, 1 \le i \le m\}, \quad u \to \infty
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

establishing the claim for $r = n$. The remaining cases that $r < n$ follow if we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\{X_{r:n}(1 - qt_i) > u, 1 \le i \le m | X_{r:n}(1) > u\} \\
&\quad = \mathbb{P}\{X_{r:r}(1 - qt_i) > u, 1 \le i \le m | X_{r:r}(1) > u\}(1 + \Upsilon_r(u))
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with $\lim_{u\to\infty} \Upsilon_r(u) = 0$ holds uniformly for all $t_i \in (0, \infty)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following, we only present the proof for the case that $r = n - 1$ and $m = 1$ since the other cases follow by similar arguments. It follows from Lemma 1 in [19] that

$$\overline{G}_{n-1}(u) = n\mathbb{P}\left\{X_{(n-1):(n-1)}(1) > u\right\}(1 + o(1)), \quad u \to \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{X_{(n-1):n}(1 - qt_1) > u, X_{(n-1):n}(1) > u\right\} \\
&\quad = \mathbb{P}\left\{X_{(n-1):n}(1 - qt_1) > u \ge X_{n:n}(1 - qt_1), X_{n:n}(1) > u\right\} \\
&\qquad + \mathbb{P}\left\{X_{n:n}(1 - qt_1) > u, X_{(n-1):n}(1) > u \ge X_{n:n}(1)\right\} \\
&\qquad + \mathbb{P}\left\{X_{(n-1):n}(1 - qt_1) > u \ge X_{n:n}(1 - qt_1), X_{(n-1):n}(1) > u \ge X_{n:n}(1)\right\} \\
&\qquad + \mathbb{P}\{X_{n:n}(1 - qt_1) > u, X_{n:n}(1) > u\} \\
&\quad =: I_{1u} + I_{2u} + I_{3u} + I_{4u}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{P}\{X_n(1 - qt_1) \le u, X_n(1) > u\} \le \overline{G}(u) = o(1)$ holds uniformly for all $t_1 \in (0, \infty)$ and large u we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1u} &= n\mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{1\le j\le n-1} X_j(1 - qt_1) > u, \min_{1\le j\le n-1} X_j(1) > u\right\}\mathbb{P}\{X_n(1 - qt_1) \le u, X_n(1) > u\} \\
&= n\mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{1\le j\le n-1} X_j(1 - qt_1) > u, \min_{1\le j\le n-1} X_j(1) > u\right\}o(1), \quad u \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $I_{2u} = I_{1u}(1 + o(1)),\ u \to \infty$.

Next, we deal with I_{3u} and I_{4u}. Note that (12) implies that for $k = 0, 1, 2$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{1\le j\le n-k} X_j(1 - qt_1) > u, \min_{1\le j'\le n-k} X_{j'}(1) > u\right\} = (\overline{G}(u))^{n-k}O(1), \quad u \to \infty.$$

Using further the fact that $\mathbb{P}\{X_n(1-qt_1)\le u, X_n(1)\le u\}=1+o(1)$ holds for $t_1\in(0,\infty)$ and large u

$$\begin{aligned} I_{3u} &= \sum_{i,i'=1,\ldots,n}\mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{1\le j\le n, j\neq i}X_j(1-qt_1)>u, X_i(1-qt_1)\le u, \min_{1\le j'\le n, j'\neq i'}X_{j'}(1)>u, X_{i'}(1)\le u\right\}\\ &= n\mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{1\le j\le n-1}X_j(1-qt_1)>u, \min_{1\le j'\le n-1}X_{j'}(1)>u\right\}\mathbb{P}\{X_n(1-qt_1)\le u, X_n(1)\le u\}\\ &\quad +c_{n,2}\mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{1\le j\le n-2}X_j(1-qt_1)>u, \min_{1\le j'\le n-2}X_{j'}(1)>u\right\}\\ &\quad \times\mathbb{P}\{X_{n-1}(1-qt_1)\le u, X_{n-1}(1)>u\}\mathbb{P}\{X_n(1-qt_1)>u, X_n(1)\le u\}\\ &= n\mathbb{P}\left\{\min_{1\le j\le n-1}X_j(1-qt_1)>u, \min_{1\le j'\le n-1}X_{j'}(1)>u\right\}(1+o(1)) \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $I_{4u}=o(I_{3u}),\ u\to\infty$ and further that (13) follows for $r=n-1$ and $m=1$. Thus, we complete the proof of the lower bound.

Next, we consider the upper bound. By Theorem 1 in [5], we only need to show that condition B is satisfied by the rth order process $X_{r:n}$, which can be shown by verifying the following inequality: for sufficiently large u and some $D>0$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{r:n}(1-qt)>u|X_{r:n}(0)>u\}\le D\mathbb{P}\{X(1-qt)>u|X(0)>u\} \tag{14}$$

holds locally uniformly with $t\in(0,\infty)$. Clearly, for $r=n$

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{n:n}(1-qt)>u|X_{n:n}(1)>u\}=\left(\mathbb{P}\{X(1-qt)>u|X(1)>u\}\right)^n$$

and for $r<n$, similar arguments as for (13) yield that

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{r:n}(1-qt)>u|X_{r:n}(1)>u\}=\left(\mathbb{P}\{X(1-qt)>u|X(1)>u\}\right)^r(1+\Upsilon_r(u))$$

with some function $\Upsilon_r(u)$ which tends to 0 as $u\to\infty$. It thus follows that (14) holds uniformly for $t\in(0,\infty)$ and some positive constant D. Therefore, we complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4: In view of Theorem 4 in [5], it suffices to show the tightness condition C holds also for the order statistics $X_{r:n}$. To this end, we will show that, for given $\sigma>0$ and small $a\in(0,\widetilde{a}]$

$$\boldsymbol{v}_r(a,\sigma)\equiv\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]}X_{r:n}(t)>u_\sigma, \max_{0\le k\le K}X_{r:n}(t_a^u(k))\le u\right\}}{\mathbb{E}\{L_r(u)/q\}+\overline{G}_r(u)}\le D\boldsymbol{v}(a,\sigma) \tag{15}$$

with $\{t_a^u(k)\}$ given by (4), and some positive constant D whose value doesn't depend on a and might change below from line to line.

Letting $q_\sigma=q(u_\sigma)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]}X(t)>u_\sigma\right\}}{\mathbb{E}\{L(u)/q\}+\overline{G}(u)}\\ &\le\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]}X(t)>u_\sigma\right\}}{\mathbb{E}\{L(u_\sigma)/q_\sigma\}+\overline{G}(u_\sigma)}\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{\mathbb{E}\{L(u_\sigma)/q_\sigma\}+\overline{G}(u_\sigma)}{\mathbb{E}\{L(u)/q\}+\overline{G}(u)} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which, in view of Proposition 1 and Theorem 3 in [5], is bounded. Using further Proposition 2.1, $\beta_3 > 0$ and the inequality $(x+y)^n \le 2^n(x^n+y^n),\ x,y>0$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_{n:n}(t) > u_\sigma, \max_{0\le k\le K} X_{n:n}(t_a^u(k)) \le u\right\}\\
&\quad\le \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_j(t) > u_\sigma, 1\le j\le n, \cup_{i=1}^n\{\max_{0\le k\le K} X_i(t_a^u(k)) \le u\}\right\}\\
&\quad\le \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_i(t) > u_\sigma, \max_{0\le k\le K} X_i(t_a^u(k)) \le u\right\}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X(t) > u_\sigma\right\}\right)^{n-1}\\
&\quad\le D\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{L(u)}{q}\right\} + \overline{G}(u)\right)^n \boldsymbol{v}(a,\sigma)\\
&\quad\le D\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{L_n(u)}{q}\right\} + \overline{G}_n(u)\right)\boldsymbol{v}(a,\sigma),\quad u\to\infty
\end{aligned}$$

establishing the proof of (15) for $r=n$.

Next, we present only the proof for $r=n-1$ since the other cases follow by similar arguments.

Note that $\boldsymbol{v}(a,\sigma)$ is non-negative and finite for small $a>0$ and $\sigma>0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_{(n-1):n}(t) > u_\sigma, \max_{0\le k\le K} X_{(n-1):n}(t_a^u(k)) \le u\right\}\\
&\quad\le n\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_j(t) > u_\sigma, 1\le j\le n-1, \cup_{i,j=1,\dots,n}\{\max_{0\le k\le K} X_i(t_a^u(k)) \le u, \max_{0\le k\le K} X_j(t_a^u(k)) \le u\}\right\}\\
&\quad\le n\sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n-1} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_i(t) > u_\sigma, \max_{0\le k\le K} X_i(t_a^u(k)) \le u\right\}\\
&\qquad\times\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_j(t) > u_\sigma, \max_{0\le k\le K} X_j(t_a^u(k)) \le u\right\}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X(t) > u_\sigma\right\}\right)^{n-3}\\
&\qquad+2n\sum_{i=1,\dots,n-1,j=n} \mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X_i(t) > u_\sigma, \max_{0\le k\le K} X_i(t_a^u(k)) \le u\right\}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]} X(t) > u_\sigma\right\}\right)^{n-2}\\
&\quad\le Dn\big(c_{n-1,2}\boldsymbol{v}(a,\sigma) + 2(n-1)\big)\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{L(u)}{q}\right\} + \overline{G}(u)\right)^{n-1}\boldsymbol{v}(a,\sigma)\\
&\quad\le D\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{L_{n-1}(u)}{q}\right\} + \overline{G}_{n-1}(u)\right)\boldsymbol{v}(a,\sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality follows by (16) and condition C. It follows thus that (15) holds for $r=n-1$. We complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 2.5: We first present the proof under conditions A, C and $0<\beta_3\le\beta_4<\infty$. To this end, we will show conditions A, B and C are satisfied by the rth order statistics process $X_{r:n}$ in turn.

By Proposition 2.1, $G_r \in MDA(\Lambda, w_r)$. Further, it follows from the proved (12) and (13) that conditin A is satisfied by the rth process $X_{r:n}$ and the limit process $\xi_{r:r}$. In view of Proposition 2 in [5], $\beta_3>0$ imply that condition B holds for the order process $X_{r:n}$. Finally, the proved (15) shows that condition C holds also for the order process $X_{r:n}$.

Therefore, in view of Theorems 5, 6 in [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{u\to\infty}\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left\{L_r(u)/q\right\}}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]}X_{r:n}(t)>u\right\}\\
&\quad\le\liminf_{x\downarrow 0}\frac{1-\Theta_r(x)}{x}\le\limsup_{x\downarrow 0}\frac{1-\Theta_r(x)}{x}\\
&\quad\le\liminf_{u\to\infty}\frac{1}{\mathbb{E}\left\{L_r(u)/q\right\}}\mathbb{P}\left\{\sup_{t\in[0,1]}X_{r:n}(t)>u\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

with $\Theta_r(\cdot)$ given by (7).

Noting that conditions A, B are satisfied by $X_{r:n}$, we have by Theorem 2 in [5] that the limit $-\Theta_r'(0)$ is positive and finite. Hence the claim follows.

Next, we consider instead condition C* holds also for the order statistics process $X_{r:n}$, i.e., with the involved constants given as in condition C*

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{X_{r:n}(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},X_{r:n}(1)\le u_v\right\}\le D^*t^d\lambda^{-b}\overline{G}_r(u) \tag{17}$$

for all u large and all $0<t^\rho\le\lambda\le\lambda_0, v\ge 0, D^*>0$.

It follows by the self-similarity of X that $\mathbb{P}\left\{X(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v}\right\}\le\overline{G}(u)$ holds uniformly for $1-qt\in(0,1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{X_{n:n}(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},X_{n:n}(1)\le u_v\right\}\\
&\quad=\mathbb{P}\left\{X_i(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},1\le i\le n,\cup_{j=1,\dots,n}\left\{X_j(1)\le u_v\right\}\right\}\\
&\quad\le n\mathbb{P}\left\{X(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},X(1)\le u_v\right\}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{X(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v}\right\}\right)^{n-1}\\
&\quad\le nDt^d\lambda^{-b}\left(\overline{G}(u)\right)^n=nDt^d\lambda^{-b}\overline{G}_n(u)
\end{aligned}$$

establishing the proof of (17) for $r=n$.

Next, we only deal with the case $r=n-1$ since the other cases follow by similar arguments.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P}\left\{X_{(n-1):n}(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},X_{(n-1):n}(1)\le u_v\right\}\\
&\quad=n\mathbb{P}\left\{X_i(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},1\le i\le n-1,\cup_{i,j=1,\dots,n}\left\{X_i(1)\le u_v,X_j(1)\le u_v\right\}\right\}\\
&\quad\le n\sum_{i,j=1,\dots,n-1}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{X(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},X(1)\le u_v\right\}\right)^2\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{X(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v}\right\}\right)^{n-3}\\
&\qquad+2n\sum_{i=1,\dots,n-1,j=n}\mathbb{P}\left\{X_i(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v},X_i(1)\le u_v\right\}\left(\mathbb{P}\left\{X(1-qt)>u_{\lambda+v}\right\}\right)^{n-2}\\
&\quad\le nD\big(c_{n-1,2}+2(n-1)\big)t^d\lambda^{-b}\left(\overline{G}(u)\right)^{n-1}\\
&\quad\le D^*t^d\lambda^{-b}\overline{G}_{n-1}(u),\quad u\to\infty
\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $0<t^\rho\le\lambda\le\lambda_0, v\ge 0$ and D^* a positive constant. Thus (17) holds for $r=n-1$. Consequently, the desired result follows from Corollary 1 in [5]. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Without loss of generality, we assume that D = 1. In the following, we denote the Lamperti's associated stationary process $\widetilde{\zeta}(t) = e^{-\kappa t}\zeta(e^t)$, $t > 0$. We will show below that conditions A, B and C are satisfied by the generic process ζ in turn, and thus Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and Theorem 2.5 follow.

a) We start below with the verification of condition A. It follows from Lemma 5 in [19] that the marginal distribution G of $\zeta(1)$ satisfies that $G \in MDA(\Lambda, w)$ with auxiliary function $w(u) = \max(1, u)$. Letting $q = q(u) = (\max(1, u))^{-2/\alpha}, \alpha \in (0, 1]$, we have $\beta_3 = \beta_4 = 1$ if $\alpha = 1$, 0 otherwise. Further, it follows from Lemma 6 in [19] that the f.d.d. of $\left\{w(u)(\widetilde{\zeta}(-qt) - u)\middle|(\widetilde{\zeta}(0) > u),\, t > 0\right\}$ converges to those of $\sqrt{2}Z(t) - t^\alpha + E$. Thus, in view of Proposition 9 (ii) in [5], condition A holds with $\xi(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{2}Z(t) - t^\alpha + E - \beta_4\kappa t$.

Next, it follows from Lemma 7 in [19] that there exists some positive constant K_p and $p > m$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\widetilde{\zeta}(qt) > u|\widetilde{\zeta}(0) > u\right\} \le \begin{cases} K_p t^{-\alpha p/2}, & qt \in (0, \epsilon], \\ K_p u^{m-1-p}, & qt \in (\epsilon, T] \end{cases}$$

which together with Proposition 7 in [5] implies that condition B holds.

Finally, by Lemma 8 in [19], there exist some positve constants D^*, p, λ_0 and $d > 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\widetilde{\zeta}(qt) > u_\lambda, \widetilde{\zeta}(0) \le u\right\} \le D^* t^d \lambda^{-p} \mathbb{P}\left\{\widetilde{\zeta}(0) > u\right\} \tag{18}$$

for $0 < t^{\alpha/2} \le \lambda \le \lambda_0$ and large u. Thus, it follows from Proposition 2 in [4] and Proposition 8 in [5] that condition C is satisfied by the generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian process ζ. Consequently, we complete the proof of a).

b) Letting $w = w(u)$ as in a) and $q = q(u) = (\max(1, u))^{-2}$, we have $\beta_4 = 1$. It follows from Lemma 6 in [19] that the f.d.d. of $\left\{w(u)(\widetilde{\zeta}(-qt) - u)\middle|(\widetilde{\zeta}(0) > u),\, t > 0\right\}$ converges to those of E. Thus, in view of Proposition 9 (ii) in [5], condition A holds with $\xi(t) \stackrel{d}{=} E - \kappa t$.

Next, we verify that conditions B and C are satisfied by the process ζ. Noting that $\beta_3 = 1 > 0$, condition B follows from Proposition 2 in [5]. Using (9) we have $\mathbb{E}\left\{\widetilde{X}(0)\widetilde{X}(t)\right\} \ge 1 - 2|t|$, $t \to 0$ with $\widetilde{X}(t) = e^{-\kappa t}X(e^t)$. It follows further from the arguments of Lemma 8 in [19] that (18) holds. Therefore, in view of Proposition 2 in [4] and Proposition 8 in [5] condition C hold.

Consequently, the desired result follows with an elementary calculation to give that $\Theta_r(x) = e^{-\kappa r x}$, $x > 0$. □

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we study approximations of the supremum of order statistics of self-similar processes. The methodology developed in the seminal paper [5] is powerful for the establishment of our main results. As mentioned therein, results on extremes for a self-similar process do not on their own imply results for Lamperti's associated stationary process or vice versa. Albin's theory on extremes of self-similar processes is based on several conditions (referred to as Albin's conditions) which precisely determine the asymptotic behaviour of supremum of self-similar processes. As shown by the illustrating example of the generalized self-similar skew-Gaussian process, checking the conditions therein requires generally a lot of technical details. Results for the supremum of self-similar or more general Gaussian processes can be also derived by utilizing the double-sum method (see, e.g., [25]). The importance of

Albin's theory lies on the fact that no Gaussianity assumption is needed rendering very general results for general self-similar processes. These theoretical results would be very useful in many applied-oriented fields.

Acknowledgments. Partial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation Project 200021-140633/1 and the project RARE -318984 (an FP7 Marie Curie IRSES Fellowship) are kindly acknowledged.

References

[1] R.J. Adler, E. Subag, and J.E. Taylor. Rotation and scale space random fields and the Gaussian kinematic formula. *Ann. Statist.*, 40(6):2910–2942, 2012.

[2] M. Al-Rawwash and M. Seif. Measuring the surface roughness using the spatial statistics application. *J. Appl. Statist. Sci.*, 15(2):205–213, 2007.

[3] J.M.P. Albin. On extremal theory for stationary processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 18(1):92–128, 1990.

[4] J.M.P. Albin. Extremes and crossings for differentiable stationary processes with application to Gaussian processes in $\mathbf{R}^m$ and Hilbert space. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 42(1):119–147, 1992.

[5] J.M.P. Albin. On extremal theory for self-similar processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 26(2):743–793, 1998.

[6] J.M.P. Albin and H. Choi. A new proof of an old result by Pickands. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 15:339–345, 2010.

[7] J.M.P. Albin and G. Samorodnitsky. On overload in a storage model, with a self-similar and infinitely divisible input. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 14(2):820–844, 2004.

[8] M.T. Alodat. An approximation to cluster size distribution of two Gaussian random fields conjunction with application to FMRI data. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, 141(7):2331–2347, 2011.

[9] M.T. Alodat and M. Al-Rawwash. Skew-Gaussian random field. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 232(2):496–504, 2009.

[10] M.T. Alodat, M. Al-Rawwash, and M.A. Jebrini. Duration distribution of the conjunction of two independent F processes. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 47(1):179–190, 2010.

[11] J.-M. Bardet and C.A. Tudor. A wavelet analysis of the Rosenblatt process: chaos expansion and estimation of the self-similarity parameter. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 120(12):2331–2362, 2010.

[12] J. Beran, Y. Feng, S. Ghosh, and R. Kulik. *Long-memory processes*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. Probabilistic properties and statistical methods.

[13] S.M. Berman. Sojourns and extremes of stationary processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 10(1):1–46, 1982.

[14] S.M. Berman. *Sojourns and extremes of stochastic processes*. The Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Statistics/Probability Series. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole Advanced Books & Software, Pacific Grove, CA, 1992.

[15] T. Bojdecki, L.G. Gorostiza, and A. Talarczyk. Some extensions of fractional Brownian motion and sub-fractional Brownian motion related to particle systems. *Electron. Comm. Probab.*, 12:161–172 (electronic), 2007.

[16] T. Bojdecki and A. Talarczyk. Particle picture interpretation of some Gaussian processes related to fractional Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122(5):2134–2154, 2012.

[17] D. Cheng and Y. Xiao. Geometry and excursion probability of multivariate Gaussian random fields. *Manuscript*, 2013.

[18] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and K. Tabiś. On the probability of conjunctions of stationary Gaussian processes. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 88:141–148, 2014.

[19] K. Dębicki, E. Hashorva, L. Ji, and C. Ling. Extremes of order statistics of stationary processes. *TEST*, DOI: 10.1007/s11749-014-0404-4, 2014.

[20] P. Embrechts and M. Maejima. *Selfsimilar processes*. Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2002.

[21] J. Hüsler and V. Piterbarg. Extremes of a certain class of Gaussian processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 83(2):257–271, 1999.

[22] Y. Li and Y. Xiao. Multivariate operator-self-similar random fields. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 121(6):1178–1200, 2011.

[23] V.-H. Pham. On the rate of convergence for central limit theorems of sojourn times of Gaussian fields. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 123(6):2158–2174, 2013.

[24] J. Pickands, III. Asymptotic properties of the maximum in a stationary Gaussianprocess. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145:75–86, 1969.

[25] V.I. Piterbarg. *Asymptotic methods in the theory of Gaussian processes and fields*, volume 148 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.

[26] K.I. Sato. Self-similar processes with independent increments. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 89(3):285–300, 1991.

[27] A. Sghir. A self-similar Gaussian process. *Random Oper. Stoch. Equ.*, 22(2):85–92, 2014.

[28] M.S. Taqqu. A representation for self-similar processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 7(1):55–64, 1978.

[29] K.J. Worsley and K.J. Friston. A test for a conjunction. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 47(2):135–140, 2000.

Chengxiu Ling, Department of Actuarial Science, University of Lausanne,, UNIL-Dorigny, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

E-mail address: Chengxiu.Ling@unil.ch